

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

23338   7590	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
DENNISON, SCHULTZ & MACDONALD	10/583,771	06/21/2006	Claude Escarguel	06074	4480
1727 KING STREET				EXAMINER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314  ARTUNIT PAPEL 1645	1727 KING STREET HINES, JANA A			JANA A	
		A. VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MAIL DATE DELIV				1645	
MAIL DATE DELIV					
99/01/2009 F					DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/583,771	ESCARGUEL, CLAUDE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JaNa Hines	1645	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE	REPLY FILED 12 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. 🛛	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this
	application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the
	application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
	for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time
	periods:

a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of evaluation and use corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.79(a).

## NOTICE OF APPEAL

The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_ A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

## <u>AMENDMENTS</u>

- ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because

   (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
   (b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
   (c) ∑ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
  - appeal; and/or

    (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
- NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_ (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- The amendments are not implicated to implicate the following rejection(s):

   Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):

   Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
- non-allowable claim(s).
  7. 
  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of
  - how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
  - Claim(s) allowed: None.
  - Claim(s) objected to: None
  - Claim(s) rejected: 1-12 and 14-34.
    Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 13.
- AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. In the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
- REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
- 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
- 12. ☐ Note the attached Information *Disclosure Statement*(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_\_13. ☐ Other:

/Mark Navarro/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1645 The proposed after final amendments raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. The new issues are drawn to the detection, quantification and testing by detecting whether immunoglobulins of the patient species react with a fourth control antigen containing protein A of a Staphylococcus aureus bacterium. Previously, the claims did not require quantification, nor did the claims recte a control antigen or the requirement of Protein A from S. aureus. Furthermore the added claim 35 without caneling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. Therefore the after final amendments do not place the application in better form for appeal since it materially increases and complicates the issues for appeal.

The rejection of claims 1-12 and 14-34 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention is maintained for reasons already of record.

The rejection of claims 1-12 and 14-34 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wong et al., (US Patent 5,478,753 published December 26, 1995) is maintained for reasons already of record,